

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/747,775	12/22/2000	Weijun Li	031994-170	4406
7590 10/28/2004			EXAMINER	
ANTHONY T. CASCIO, ESQ,			JANVIER, JEAN D	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404		HIS, L.L.P.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			3622	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	d)
Office Action Summer:	09/747,775	LI, WEIJUN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean D Janvier	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPATHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	erits is
Disposition of Claims	,		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) Objected to b	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	· ·	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

Response To Applicant's Amendments

Claims 1-15, 16-29 and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 1, 16 and 30 contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Indeed, claims 1, 16 and 30 introduce the following new matter-

"providing said electronic content to an executable program adapted to read said electronic content, said electronic content having associated therewith a user right, said user right being either a restricted right or an unrestricted right to be provided said electronic content;"

"determining if said user right is a restricted right or an unrestricted right;"

"if said user right is a restricted right, invoking an executable module attached to said executable program to obtain at least one electronic advertisement;"

"presenting said at least one electronic advertisement to said user and providing said electronic content to said user"

"if said user right is an unrestricted right, providing said electronic content to said user".

Here, as shown above in bold, the concept of determining if the user's right is restricted or unrestricted before deciding whether or not the requested electronic content should be provided to the user with or without an electronic advertisement is not supported in the specification.

Art Unit: 3622

Furthermore, the amendment to the specification as introduced by new figure 3 (not received by the Examiner) along with its description is not considered because it introduces new matter in the specification.

Therefore, the amendments to the claims and to the specification will not be entered for introducing new matter under 35 U.S.C 112(1).

Response to Applicant's Arguments

In general, Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive so as to help one having ordinary skill in the art understand the nature of the subject matter. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. See 37 CFR 1.72.

On page 5: 1, "callbelongs to" should apparently be - -call belongs to--.

On page 5: 17, "...the a" should apparently be - -...the--.

Art Unit: 3622

. 4

Drawings

New corrected drawings are required in this application because appended figs 1 and 2 do not disclose any formal information, which could helps the Examiner understand the nature of the subject matter. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing or the reference to prior art systems, such as the reference to the InterTrust Technologies Corporation system on page 2: 21-22, in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 1-15, 16-29 and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Claims 1, 16 and 30 contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Indeed, the concept of determining if the user's right is restricted or unrestricted before deciding whether or not the requested electronic content should be provided to the user with or without an electronic advertisement is not supported in the specification.

In addition, the Application as originally filed and including original appended claims 1-18 and figs. 1 and 2 (see attached claims and figs) does not support the concept of providing an advertisement to a user under any conditions. To this end, claims 1-29, before the present amendment, contain limitations that are supported in the specification and hence, these claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C 112(1).

No art rejection will be provided here.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to Jean D. Janvier, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6287). The aforementioned can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 10:00AM to 6:00 PM EST. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Eric W. Stamber, can be reached at (703) 305-8469.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

For information on the status of your case, please call the help desk at (703) 308-1113.

Further, the following fax numbers can be used, if need be, by the Applicant(s):

After Final- 703-872-9327

Before Final -703-872-9326

Non-Official Draft- 703-746-7240

Customer Service- 703-872-9325

JDJ

Janvier Sean Rario